


522418-70290

Barbara
Precour
12, 2006



Dear Sir or Madam,

I am concerned about the proposed Business Opportunity Rule R511993. I believe that form, it would negatively effect my business as a Young Living Independent Distributor. that part of the FTC's responsibilities are to protect the public from "unfair and deceptive practices," yet many of the sections in the proposed rule will make it extremely difficult, impossible, for me to conduct my business.

I realize that you may have only seen the unfortunate results of some. I too agree that and honest ways to conduct business and I strive to maintain those standards not only conduct but also in my training of those who choose to enter into the Young Living Business Opportunity. In other words, we all are 'not' bad apples.

I do not feel that an entire industry should be held responsible for the poor conduct of a percentage of people who come into this business model, or any other for that matter. I think that it is fair for the majority of those of us who are fair and honest to bear the devastation this rule would no doubt inflict. I also do not believe it's right for 'some' to go out and ruin

There are an enormous number of women in this business who have chosen it not only believe in the fine products Young Living manufactures. They have chosen this business allows them the opportunity to make an income for their families, and actually be there when they get home from school. They're not spending the entire day away from the home traffic going to and from a 9-5 job, and so forth.

We've chosen this business because it allows us, and our families, to have a more normal

do not believe that it's right for our children to arrive home from school to an empty home. We 'have' to work a regular job away from home just to make ends meet. It wasn't all that two heads of a household 'had' to bring in an income. It's unfortunate that is the case in the world.

Some of the things this proposed rule, which I do not approve of, will require us to do:

Disclosure of a minimum of 10 prior purchasers nearest the prospective purchaser. I talk about this, not because it is a source of references, but rather because who in the world is going to consent to their personal information being passed around to countless people!? If that is a trouble, I don't know what is.

Another proposed rule calls for the release of any information regarding lawsuits involving misrepresentations, unfair and deceptive practices over the 'past' 10 years. Today, any company can be sued for almost anything, even if the company or individual is innocent and was innocent. Imagine if one of our chain restaurants or Walmart were required to do the same, they would have a stack of papers and saying "enjoy your meal, if you dare," or the greeter at Walmart would have to present this type of information to each and every potential customer who walked in the door. It would not only severely affect their business but it would also send a very frightening message to the people. I don't know about you, but I would turn around and walk straight out the door. I know that even just these two types of businesses alone have seen more than their fair share of unfortunate events, even if there was no intent or misrepresentation. However, if an innocent company 'is' found guilty, I think it's perfectly fine to carry that sheet of paper in my back pocket with that information.

To purchase a Young Living sales kit costs \$150. And yes, there are brochures, business samples distributors may purchase in order to promote their business, which are available at standard prices. You have the 'option' of purchasing whatever you choose outside of the sales kit. I cannot speak for other companies, but Young Living does not require nor insist on all sorts of outlandish and expensive materials. You purchase what you feel are reasonable materials for promoting your business the way you choose.

I also do not believe that disclosing what I, or any other person, makes in their Young Living business is anyone else's business. I would never even think of throwing numbers around in order to influence the perspective persons to join.

In regards to the seven day waiting period...you tell anyone that they have to wait 7 days for anything they will just go someplace else to get what they want. If someone signs up with you they can back out at any point without any penalty whatsoever.

This proposed rule is telling me that I 'must' tote around and incorporate, all sorts of negative information in my fair and honest presentation anything that the FTC deems worthy of representing a negative image. Many others have not, nor will we ever be a guilty partner. I appreciate the work the FTC does to protect consumers, yet I believe this proposed new rule has tremendous unintended consequences. There are many far less burdensome and less devastating alternatives to achieving truly fair regards to this matter.

Please be informed that not all direct selling companies are guilty and conduct business in an unethical manner. There are far more of us doing our work in commendable ways.

Sincerely,